NSC BRIEFING

7 June 1955

## SOUTH VIETNAM

- Problem of rebellious sect forces apparently being successfully dealt with by force of arms.
  - A. Ba Cut, given to loud talks, has not moved to support his fellow Hoa Hao rebel, General Soai.
  - E. American observers discount possibility that French will resupply rebels.
  - c. Prospect is that Non Hao dissidents will soon be reduced from level of military to level of a police problem.
- II. Most pressing question elsewhere on horizon is problem of July 1955 talks with Viet Minh on 1956 all-Vietnam elections.
  - A. Viet Einh stepping up its campaign to put over its own interpretation of Geneva terms (see background).
  - 8. Commander-in-chief Giap, on 22 May after Haiphong takeover, made speech citing "new phase" in struggle to implement Geneva agreement.
    - Said most important problem in sight was to prepare for consultations to be held from 20 July onward, as specified by Geneva declarations.
    - Giap blasted alleged US effort to mahotage election plans; claimed Defense Secretary Wilson, on eve of Geneva, had made clear US intent to make Vietnam demarcation line permanent, like Korea.

Approved For Release 2003/01/29 : CIA-RDP79R00890A000600010017-2



- Giap praised French spokesmen for their assurances that Geneva terms would be faithfully adhered to.
- D. At press conference in Hanoi on 6 June, Viet Hinh Vice Premier (Phan Van Dong) cited Geneva terms in brushing aside question whether consultation conference might not be held.
  - Pong put French on notice, stating "the other signatory of the Geneva agreements, and the interested parties must execute their obligation."
- N. Viet Winh spokesmen always take care to state that all guarantees necessary will be given to insure completely free elections.

| i. | London Daily Worker correspondent, Wilfred     |  |
|----|--|--|
|    | Burchett, stated                               |  |
|    | at Bandung in April that Vietnam was one       |  |
|    | place where elections could be held on British |  |
|    | model since Communists sure of winning.        |  |

- III. France, as Geneva signatory, showing concern regarding responsibilities contracted for.
  - A. French see Diem's proposed Saigon 4-Power Conference as good opportunity to put Western pressure on Vietnamese to take initiative regarding consultations with Viet Ninh.
  - E. French suggest that Western powers at Saigen meet

    Approved Francisco Section 1225 Class DESERVING 17-20-1

25X1

Molotov) make approach to two Vietnam parties or that ICC do so; French prefer former.

| 2 | ᆮ | v | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|
| _ | U | Л | ı |

- IV. Diem government, meanwhile, apparently planning to use two arguments as basis for backing away from any talks with Viet Minh, at least in July.
  - A. Main argument is that South Vietnam not a signatory to Geneva agreement, thus not bound by its terms.
  - 8. Other argument, or stall, is that only a popularly elected National Assembly -- which will not come into existence before fall -- can deal with such vital matters.
  - C. In addition, Diem has recently linked the status of the French Expeditionary Corps to subject of elections.
  - D. He regards it essential that Vietnamese soverighty be unquestioned and complete before any declaration on free elections is made.
  - E. At that time, Diem wants to fully dissociate his government from Geneva accords.
  - F. He feels this necessary to prevent ICC, which he probably distrusts, from coming in and taking over election arrangements.
- V. These South Vietnamese points will scarcely impress Viet Winh or--perhaps more importantly--the Indians.

Approved For Release 2003/01/29: CIAFRDP79R00890A000600010017-2

- A. Indian ICC chairman, on 31 May, said "if French had authority and it has passed to Vietnamese, the latter are responsible; but we do not know how much has passed to Vietnamese.
- B. Questioned on whether Vietnamese "automatically inherit" responsibility of Geneva signatory, Indian said that is legal question to be studied when it arises.
- C. Canadians on ICC take position that Commission members have no role regarding elections until both sides agreed on all details.
- D. But Indians, with support of Poles, unlikely to accept so passive a role.